# **Fitch**Ratings

# North Davis Sewer District, Utah

The 'AAA' Issuer Default Rating (IDR) and bond ratings reflect the district's exceptionally strong financial profile, in the context of its very strong revenue defensibility and operating risk profiles, both assessed at 'aa'. The financial profile is supported by extremely low leverage, measured as net adjusted debt to adjusted funds available for debt service (FADS), which was 1.8x in fiscal 2022 (FYE Dec. 31). Leverage is anticipated to remain comfortably below 5.0x for at least the next five years and continue supporting the existing rating.

Revenue defensibility is reflective of the long-term unconditional service contracts, supported by the strong Purchaser Credit Quality (PCQ) of the four largest purchasers. The district's operating risk profile is characterized by a historically very low operating cost burden, a very low life cycle ratio, and capital spending that Fitch Ratings expects will remain in excess of annual depreciation costs.

The current capital improvement plan (CIP) is expected to be slightly smaller than previous years but still robust. After the current issuance, the district is not anticipated to issue debt through at least fiscal 2026. Absent a material change to the CIP and funding plan, the financial profile is expected to remain consistent with the 'aaa' assessment beyond the next five years.

## **Security**

The sewer revenue bonds are payable from net revenues of the system, including impact fees. General obligation (GO) bonds are secured by a pledge of the district's ad valorem taxes, unlimited as to rate or amount.

### Public Finance Water & Sewer United States

### Rating

Long-Term IDR	AAA
Outlooks	
Long-Term IDR	Stable

### New Issue

\$18,000,000 Sewer Revenue Bonds AAA

### Sale Date

The bonds are scheduled to sell via negotiation on February 27.

### **Outstanding Debt**

General Obligation Refunding Bonds	AAA
Sewer Revenue Bonds	AAA
Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds (Taxable)	AAA

#### **Applicable Criteria**

U.S. Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria (January 2024) U.S. Water and Sewer Rating Criteria (March 2023)

#### **Related Research**

Fitch Rates North Davis Sewer District, UT's Bonds 'AAA'; Outlook Stable (February 2024)

#### Analysts

Christopher Drace +1 212 908 0248

christopher.drace@fitchratings.com

Kristen Reifsnyder +1 646 582 3448 kristen.reifsnyder@fitchratings.com

# **Key Rating Drivers**

### Revenue Defensibility - 'aa'

**Very Strong Purchaser Credit Quality, Very Strong Revenue Source Characteristics:** Revenue defensibility is supported by purchasers that exhibit very strong credit quality. The four largest members include the cities of Layton, Roy, Clearfield, and Syracuse, which together accounted for nearly 80% of the district's revenue in fiscal 2022. These four purchasers are considered in assessing the very strong PCQ. The district has contract provisions that allow for full cost recovery and the unlimited reallocation of costs across users. Additionally, the district retains independent legal ability to raise rates without external approval.

## Operating Risk – 'aa'

**Very Low Operating Cost Burden, Moderate Investment Needs:** In fiscal 2022, the system's operating cost burden was considered very low at \$2,455 per million gallons (mg), consistent with the operating risk assessment. The life cycle ratio was very low at 28% in fiscal 2022. Annual capital spending relative to depreciation is robust, reflected in the five-year average of 290% as of fiscal 2022. Planned capital spending for the next five years should be in line with historical depreciation, allowing for stability in the currently very low life cycle ratio.

The fiscal years 2023-2027 CIP is expected to be around \$103 million, which is somewhat lower than historical spending. Over the past couple of years, the district has been focusing its capital spending on the Gilbert Bay project. This project will relocate the district's discharge point to Gilbert Bay in the Great Salt Lake. The completion date of the project is expected to be in fiscal 2024. As a result, capital spending after fiscal 2024 is expected to decrease, as the district's CIP starts to focus on smaller expansion and improvement projects for the existing system.

The CIP is expected to partially funded with the current issuance and existing funds from district's 2021 issuance. The remainder of the CIP will be funded on a pay-go basis.

### Financial Profile - 'aaa'

**Leverage to Decline Despite Additional Debt:** The district had extremely low leverage of 1.8x as of fiscal 2022. This falls in line with historical performance that has ranged from 1.8x to 2.9x annually since fiscal 2018. The liquidity profile is neutral to the overall assessment, with current days cash on hand of 135 and coverage of full obligations (COFO) of 3.2x. Fitch-calculated total debt service coverage was 3.2x in fiscal 2022.

The Fitch Analytical Stress Test (FAST) considers the potential trend of key ratios in a base case and stress case over a five-year period. The stress case is designed to impose capital costs of 10% above expected base case levels and evaluate potential variability in projected key ratios. The FAST reflects Fitch's view of a reasonable scenario, which is generally informed by publicly available and/or management provided information with respect to capital expenditures, user charges and rate of revenue and expenditure growth.

In the base case scenario, the leverage ratio is expected to decrease over the next five years from 1.2x in fiscal 2024 to around 0.4x in fiscal 2027. In the stress case, the leverage ratio is projected to also decrease from 1.4x to just over 0.7x during the same period. Liquidity is expected to remain neutral to the assessment over the five-year horizon.

### Asymmetric Additional Risk Considerations

No asymmetric additive risk considerations affected this rating determination.

## **Sensitivities**

### Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

- An increase in leverage sustained above 5.0x in Fitch's base and stress cases.
- A downward revision in the revenue defensibility assessment, driven by a material deterioration in the PCQ.

### Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

• The rating is at the highest level on Fitch's scale and cannot be upgraded.

## Profile

The district provides wholesale sewer treatment services to approximately 229,000 people in a primarily suburban residential area located about 35 miles northwest of downtown Salt Lake City. The service area covers 82 square miles primarily in northern Davis County and parts of southern Weber County, UT. The district owns and operates approximately 100 miles of sewer collection lines, which convey and deliver wastewater to its treatment facility located near the shore of the Great Salt Lake in Syracuse City, UT.

# **Fitch**Ratings

The district contracts with seven of its member entities, including Clearfield, Clinton, Layton, Roy, Sunset, Syracuse, and West Point, which comprise the majority of its revenues and sewer flows. It also has contracts with, among others, the Hill Air Force Base and provides service to a small area of Kaysville and areas of unincorporated Davis and Weber counties.

The district has the authority to levy taxes on all taxable property within the district, bolstering the flexibility afforded by its ability to increase user fees without external approval. Taxes levied for operations cannot in any year exceed \$0.0008 of the valuation of the taxable property in the district, while taxes levied to pay principal and interest on GO bonds have no statutory maximum.

## **Revenue Defensibility**

### **Revenue Source Characteristics**

The revenue defensibility assessment is supported by the strong contractual framework and the very strong credit quality of the largest purchasers. The district's contracts provide for the payment of all operating costs of the district, including debt service. Any uncollected costs under the contracts would be reallocated from the non-defaulting purchasers to ensure the district meets its 1.15x rate covenant. Fitch notes the practical limitations of any of the cities discontinuing or replacing service provided by the district given the lack of alternate sewer treatment options.

### **Rate Flexibility**

Both the district and member cities have the independent legal ability to increase user charges without external approval. The member cities are required to set retail rates sufficient to meet their obligations under the contract and the member cities' payments under the contract constitute an operating expense of the city's retail system. The district retains ample capacity under the operation and maintenance tax cap, providing additional revenue flexibility.

The district charges a monthly service fee of around \$21.50 for a single-family residential unit; this fee is passed through from the retail systems to their customers. It has been the same since fiscal 2017.

### **Purchaser Credit Quality**

The cities of Layton, Roy, Clearfield and Syracuse are the district's largest purchasers and comprise approximately 80% of estimated 2023 user revenues. Fitch has assessed the credit quality of key issuers via the Purchaser Credit Index, which is the weighted average credit quality of the relevant purchasers. The weighted average score was 1.63 in the current review but has typically fallen below 1.5. Fitch expects it returns to that level as likely one-time events at two of the purchasers drove the modest increase.

### **Asymmetric Factor Considerations**

No asymmetric rating factor considerations affected the revenue defensibility assessment.

# **Operating Risk**

### **Operating Cost Burden**

The district's operating cost burden is very low, at less than \$2,500 per mg of flows treated in fiscal 2022, relative to the fiscal 2018 level of around \$1,870 per mg. The metric increased year over year by just 2.2%. Although this cost is trending upward, Fitch anticipates it will remain supportive of the operating risk assessment for the long term.

### **Capital Planning and Management**

The district's life cycle ratio was very low at 28%. This has been supported by very strong capital investment that has well outpaced depreciation over the past five years. In fiscal 2022, the five-year average capex to depreciation ratio was 292%. Capital spending is expected to decrease over the next five years from the \$43.9 million spent in fiscal 2022, yet is still expected to continue outpacing depreciation.

The five-year CIP for 2023 through 2027 approximates \$103 million. Over the last few years, the district's CIP has been focused on a project to address nutrient regulation. The project relocated the district's discharge site to Gilbert Bay, a more saline area of the Great Salt Lake. The project cost around \$52 million and will be done this year (2024). After project completion, capital spending is expected to decrease over the upcoming years as the CIP focuses more on expanding and improving the existing system. Capital spending is expected to be partially funded with the current issuance and the remaining funds from the district's 2021 issuance. The remainder of the CIP is anticipated to funded on a pay-go basis.

## **Asymmetric Factor Considerations**

No asymmetric rating factor considerations affected the operating risk assessment.

# **Financial Profile and FAST Analysis**

The financial profile is exceptionally strong, reflecting extremely low leverage and liquidity that is neutral to the assessment. Leverage was 1.8x in fiscal 2022, down from 2.9x in fiscal 2018, as debt decreased over this period. The liquidity profile is neutral with COFO at 3.2x; COFO excluding connection fees was around 2.6x and current days cash on hand was 135 in fiscal 2022.

## Fitch Analytical Stress Test

The FAST considers the potential trend of key ratios in a base case and a stress case. The base case reflects Fitch's expectation of both historical financial results and expected performance in a normal operating-cost environment, while the stress case is designed to impose capital costs 10% above expected base case levels and evaluate potential variability in projected key ratios.

The base case includes full execution of the district's CIP of around \$103 million. Operating revenue is expected to increase by 1% per year, driven by customer growth. Operating expenses are anticipated to grow by almost 8% in fiscal 2023 and around 2% thereafter. Factoring in these assumptions, leverage decreases through the forward look to around 0.4x and 0.7x in fiscal 2027 in both the base and stress cases, respectively.

The liquidity profile is expected to remain neutral to the assessment, with COFO remaining above 2.0x and solid days cash on hand through the forward look.

# **ESG** Considerations

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores.

# **Fitch**Ratings

### **Financial Summary**

(Audited Fiscal Years Ended Dec. 31)	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Operating Risk					
Operating Cost Burden					
Operating Cost Burden (\$/mg)	1,871	1,929	2,235	2,403	2,455
Capital Planning and Management					
Life Cycle Ratio (%)	27	30	30	29	28
Annual CapEx/Depreciation (%)	202	131	233	336	559
5-Year Average Capex/Depreciation (%)	365	240	166	211	292
Financial Profile (\$000, unless otherwise indicated)					
Current Unrestricted Cash/Investments	7,171	3,311	3,451	5,684	2,982
Current Cash Available	7,171	3,311	3,451	5,684	2,982
Noncurrent Restricted Cash/Invest (Available Liquidity)	23,961	26,279	26,577	28,621	30,838
Available Cash	31,132	29,589	30,028	34,305	33,820
Noncurrent Restricted Cash/Invest (Debt Service or Debt Service Reserve)	10,858	14,561	9,660	10,085	10,470
Funds Restricted for Debt Service	10,858	14,561	9,660	10,085	10,470
Total Debt	129,960	119,102	99,195	109,125	103,110
Adjusted Net Pension Liability	3,337	4,417	3,347	2,436	429
Available Cash	31,132	29,589	30,028	34,305	33,820
Funds Restricted for Debt Service	10,858	14,561	9,660	10,085	10,470
Net Adjusted Debt	91,307	79,369	62,854	67,171	59,249
Total Operating Revs	21,565	22,065	22,427	21,925	22,579
Other Operating Expenses	7,201	7,986	8,451	8,395	8,072
EBITDA	14,364	14,080	13,977	13,530	14,507
Investment Income/(Loss)	1,474	1,780	1,029	563	1,350
Non-Operating Revenues from Taxes	10,656	10,867	11,195	11,261	10,153
Other Cash Revenues/(Expenses)	187	219	143	477	641
Capital Contributions	4,413	4,529	6,214	7,810	6,852
Funds Available for Debt Service	31,094	31,473	32,558	33,641	33,503
Pension Expense	462	635	547	94	-580
Adjusted Funds Available for Debt Service	31,556	32,108	33,105	33,734	32,922
Net Adjusted Debt/Adjusted Funds Available for Debt Service (x)	2.9	2.5	1.9	2.0	1.8
Funds Available for Debt Service	31,094	31,473	32,558	33,641	33,503
Adjusted FADS for Coverage of Full Obligations	31,094	31,473	32,558	33,641	33,503
Total Annual Debt Service	14,423	14,435	14,648	12,358	10,393
Adjusted Debt Service (Includes Fixed Services Expense)	14,423	14,435	14,648	12,358	10,393
Coverage of Full Obligations (x)	2.16	2.18	2.22	2.72	3.22
Coverage of Full Obligations Excluding Connection Fees (x)	1.85	1.87	1.80	2.09	2.56
Current Days Cash on Hand	363	151	149	247	135
Liquidity Cushion Ratio (Days)	363	151	149	247	135
All-In Debt Service Coverage (x)	2.16	2.18	2.22	2.72	3.22

Note: Fitch may have reclassified certain financial statement items for analytical purposes. Source: Fitch Ratings, Fitch Solutions, North Davis Sewer District (UT)

### SOLICITATION & PARTICIPATION STATUS

For information on the solicitation status of the ratings included within this report, please refer to the solicitation status shown in the relevant entity's summary page of the Fitch Ratings website.

For information on the participation status in the rating process of an issuer listed in this report, please refer to the most recent rating action commentary for the relevant issuer, available on the Fitch Ratings website.

#### **DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES**

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA-or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification such as audit reports of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions should thure events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch ard no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus on or a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any security. Ratings do not commend on to maket price, the suitability of any security or a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) fees are expected to vary from US\$1,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with response issues issued by a particular insurer or guaranter, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$1,000,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSRO") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.